

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,861	04/05/2004	Shlomo Navarro	25706	1807	
Martin D. Moyı	7590 12/06/2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EXAMINER		
PRTSI, Inc.			LEVY, NEIL S		
P. O. Box 1644 Arlington, VA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/816,861	NAVARRO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
·		NEIL LEVY	1615	
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time marger SIX (6) MONTH: - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAy be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 for the mailing date of this communication. is specified above, the maximum statutory period we the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (S6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)☐ This action 3)☐ Since this a	e to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Octoor</u> is FINAL . 2b) This application is in condition for alloward coordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		erits is
Disposition of Clain	18			
4a) Of the a 5) Claim(s) _ 6) Claim(s) _ 7) Claim(s) _	60 is/are pending in the application. bove claim(s) is/are withdraves is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to. 60 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers				
10)⊠ The drawing Applicant m Replacemen	cation is objected to by the Examine g(s) filed on <u>05 April 2004</u> is/are: a) ay not request that any objection to the out drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledg a)⊠ All b)□ 1.⊠ Certi 2.□ Certi 3.□ Copi	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority documents fied copies of the priority documents es of the certified copies of the priorication from the International Bureauched detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)				
Notice of Reference Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/816,861

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12,49, drawn to compositions, classified in class 523, subclass 122.
- II. Claim13-21, drawn to coating compositions, classified in class 106, subclass14.22.
- III. Claims 22-30, drawn to package, classified in class 426, subclass 326
- IV Claim31-43, drawn to methods of preventing, classified in class 428, subclass 907.
- V. Claim44-48,50-51, drawn to PEST CONTROL COMPOSITIONS, classified in class 424, subclass 411.
- VI. Claims 52-54, drawn to CONTAINERS, classified in class 206, subclass 278.
- VII. Claims 55-60, drawn to a sheet, classified in class 252, subclass 62.3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I,II,III,V,VI,VII and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

Application/Control Number: 10/816,861

Art Unit: 1615

1615

product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case other methods can be practiced with the various products, such as providing scented packages.

The various compositions are independent & patentably distinct inventions; coatings useful in other venues than packaging, for instance, AS the barriers of Group VII.

The various container & packages are independent & patentably distinct inventions, as food packages are distinct from garment containers, for example.

The Groups I –VII have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, the search for any one group is not required for any other Group, and a search and examination of the entire application would place an undue burden on the Examiner, the present restriction requirement is proper for examination purposes.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1615

103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Terpene species; ar-turmerone, sesquiterpene alcohol or turmeric oleoresin. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-54 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Substance; paper, polymer, textile, metal, paperboard

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-8,13-29,31,36-39,49,55-60 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,861

Art Unit: 1615

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: ultimate pest species; mite, rat, bat, moth etc. or any disclosed species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 2-8,14-19,21,25,26,31 –38,44-54 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: species of fabricating means; laminating, coating, impregnating.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 31-43 are generic.

Claims 44-48, 50-54 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: carrier species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, for each of the species requirements even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call

Art Unit: 1615

to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec, 812.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> NEIL LEVY Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615